Outlook for China Glyphosate Market 2018–2022

The Tenth Edition

Dec. 2018





Researched & Prepared by:

Kcomber Inc.

Copyright by Kcomber Inc.

Any publication, distribution or copying of the content in this report is prohibited.



Contents

Executive summary	1
Methodology	4
1 Factors influencing China's glyphosate industry	7
1.1 Economic environment	7
1.2 Government policy	10
1.3 Global demand	11
1.4 Competition with overseas glyphosate industry	12
1.5 Entry barriers of glyphosate industry	13
2 Glyphosate upstream industry	15
2.1 Introduction to key raw materials & intermediates of glyphosate	15
2.1.1 Glycine	17
2.1.2 IDAN	22
2.1.3 DEA	26
2.1.4 Paraformaldehyde	32
2.1.5 PMIDA	38
2.2 Impacts of raw materials on glyphosate industry	40
3 Glyphosate supply	41
3.1 Glyphosate registration situation	41
3.2 Glyphosate technical	44
3.2.1 China's position in global glyphosate technical supply	44
3.2.2 Total supply	45
3.2.3 Supplier	45
3.2.4 Production by region	48
3.2.5 Production by production route	50
3.3 Glyphosate formulations	52
3.3.1 Overview	52
3.3.2 Introduction to specific formulations	53
3.3.3 China's weakness in formulation production	54
3.3.4 China's glyphosate formulation trend and its impacts on global market	55
3.4 Forecast on glyphosate supply in the next five years	56
4 Circulation	58
4.1 Trade analysis	58
4.1.1 Trade position in the world	58

4.1.2 Antidumping issues involving Chinese glyphosate	59
4.1.3 Export situation of PMIDA and glyphosate	62
4.1.4 Chinese glyphosate flow	82
4.2 Price	86
4.2.1 Price changes in the past and key factors	86
4.2.2 Price forecast, 2018–2021	88
5 Demand for glyphosate	91
5.1 Global demand for glyphosate	91
5.1.1 Overview of global genetically modified crop	91
5.1.2 Global demand for glyphosate	94
5.2 Domestic demand for glyphosate	95
5.2.1 Overview of China's glyphosate consumption	95
5.2.2 Position and market size of glyphosate in herbicide industry	96
5.2.3 Glyphosate application in China	97
5.2.3.1 By specific formulation	97
5.2.3.2 By crop	98
5.3 Forecast on glyphosate demand in the next five years	108
6 Production technology & technology level	110
6.1 AEA pathway	110
6.1.1 Description	110
6.1.2 Development of AEA pathway in China	112
6.1.3 Theoretical consumption of raw materials for AEA pathway	115
6.1.4 Advantages and problems	116
6.2 DEA route	117
6.2.1 Description	117
6.2.2 Development of DEA route in China	118
6.2.3 Theoretical consumption of raw materials for DEA route	120
6.2.4 Advantages and problems	120
6.3 IDAN route	120
6.3.1 Description	120
6.3.2 Development of IDAN route in China	122
6.3.3 Theoretical consumption of raw materials for IDAN route	123
6.3.4 Advantages and problems	123

6.4 Comparison of different pathways and development trend	124
6.5 Wastewater treatment technology	125
7 Competitive landscape of Chinese glyphosate industry	131
8 Challenges, commercial opportunities and recommendation	133
9 Profiles of key glyphosate technical producers	136
9.1 Hubei Trisun Chemicals Co., Ltd	136
9.2 Fuhua Tongda Agro-chemical Technology Co., Ltd	137
9.3 Zhejiang Wynca Chemical Industry Group Co., Ltd	138
9.4 Nantong Jiangshan Agrochemical & Chemicals Co., Ltd	
9.5 Jiangsu Good Harvest-Weien Agrochemical Co., Ltd	143
9.6 Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Co., Ltd	144
9.7 Zhejiang Jinfanda Biochemical Co., Ltd	146

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1-1 Factors influencing China's glyphosate industry, 2017
- Table 1.1-1 China's policies to boost farmers' income in recent years
- Table 1.4-1 Key players of glyphosate technical in the world, as of Oct. 2018
- Table 1.5-1 Capital barriers for glyphosate manufacturers in China
- Table 2.1.1-1 Raw material consumption and unit cost of the chloroacetic acid ammonolysis process for glycine production in China, Oct. 2018
- Table 2.1.1-2 Capacity and output of glycine in China, 2013–2017
- Table 2.1.1-3 Producers of tech-grade glycine in China, 2013–H1 2018
- Table 2.1.1-4 Consumption of glycine in glyphosate production in China, 2013–2017
- Table 2.1.1-5 Main glycine producers and glycine consumers in glyphosate industry in China, 2017
- Table 2.1.2-1 Capacity and output of IDAN producers in China, 2013–2017
- Table 2.1.2-2 Key glyphosate technical producers adopting IDA pathway in China, as of H1 2018
- Table 2.1.3-1 Capacity and output of DEA producers in China, 2013–2017
- Table 2.1.3-2 China's anti-dumping duties on EA for different companies, as of 13 Nov., 2015
- Table 2.1.3-3 China's margin rates on EA for different companies, since 23 June, 2018
- Table 2.1.3-4 Output of PMIDA & glyphosate technical by taking DEA as raw material and consumption volume of DEA, 2013–2017, tonne
- Table 2.1.3-5 Producers of PMIDA & glyphosate technical taking DEA as raw material in China, 2013–2017, tonne
- Table 2.1.4-1 Basic info of key PF producers in China
- Table 2.1.4-2 Capacity and output of key PF producers in China, 2013–2017
- Table 2.1.4-3 China's imports & exports of PF, 2013–2017
- Table 2.1.4-4 Consumption of PF in agrochemical industry in China, 2013–2017, tonne



Table 2.1.5-1 Capacity and output of PMIDA producers in China, 2013-H1 2018

Table 3.1-1 Registrations of glyphosate in China, as of March 2012, Oct. 2016, Aug. 2017 and Oct. 2018

Table 3.1-2 Registrations of glyphosate technical by content in China, as of Oct. 2018

Table 3.1-3 Registrations of glyphosate technical by AI in China, as of Oct. 2018

Table 3.1-4 Registrations of single glyphosate formulations by specification in China, as of Oct. 2018

Table 3.2.1-1 Output of China's glyphosate and share in global market, 2013–2017

Table 3.2.1-2 Situation of China's glyphosate in overseas market, 2013–2017

Table 3.2.3-1 Basic info of glyphosate technical manufacturers in China

Table 3.2.3-2 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical by producer in China, 2013-H1 2018

Table 3.2.4-1 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in China by region, 2013–2017

Table 3.2.5-1 Capacity of glyphosate technical in China by production route, 2007–2017

Table 3.2.5-2 Output of glyphosate technical in China by production route, 2007–2017

Table 3.3.1-1 Key glyphosate formulations in China

Table 3.3.1-2 Output of key glyphosate formulations in China, 2013-H1 2018, tonne

Table 3.3.3-1 Comparison of weed control effect between Roundup and glyphosate formulations made in China

Table 4.1.3-1 Major overseas buyers of China's PMIDA, 2017, tonne

Table 4.1.3-2 Export volume of China's glyphosate by continent, 2013-Aug. 2018

Table 4.1.3-3 China's exports of glyphosate by month, 2013

Table 4.1.3-4 China's exports of glyphosate by month, 2014

Table 4.1.3-5 China's exports of glyphosate by month, 2015

Table 4.1.3-6 China's exports of glyphosate by month, 2016

Table 4.1.3-7 China's exports of glyphosate by month, 2017

Table 4.1.3-8 China's exports of glyphosate by month, Aug. 2018

Table 4.1.3-9 China's exports of glyphosate by destination, 2013

Table 4.1.3-10 China's exports of glyphosate by destination, 2014

Table 4.1.3-11 China's exports of glyphosate by destination, 2015

Table 4.1.3-12 China's exports of glyphosate by destination, 2016

Table 4.1.3-13 China's exports of glyphosate by destination, 2017

Table 4.1.3-14 China's exports of glyphosate by destination, Aug. 2018

Table 4.1.4-1 Cooperation between key overseas and Chinese players

Table 4.2.2-1 Year-on-year growth rates of glyphosate's annual average ex-works price and export volume in China, 2007–2017

Table 5.1.1-1 Global planting area of GM crops by country, 2008–2017, million hectare

Table 5.2.2-1 Supply and consumption of glyphosate and share in herbicides in China, 2006–2017, tonne

Table 5.2.3.1-1 Consumption of glyphosate formulations in China, 2013–H1 2018

Table 5.2.3.2-1 Planting area of main crops in China, 2013–2017, '000 hectare

Table 5.2.3.2-2 Consumption of glyphosate (calculated by 95% technical) in China by crop, 2013–2017, tonne

Table 5.2.3.2-3 Consumption of glyphosate (calculated by 95% technical) in fruits in China,



2013-2017, tonne

- Table 6.1.2-1 Major achievements for recycling by-products and raw materials in glyphosate technical production by AEA pathway
- Table 6.1.2-2 Improvements in hydrolysis of glyphosate technical production by AEA pathway in China
- Table 6.1.3-1 Raw material cost of AEA pathway for glyphosate technical production in China, Sept. 2018
- Table 6.2.3-1 Raw material cost of DEA route for glyphosate technical production in China, Sept. 2018
- Table 6.3.3-1 Raw material cost of IDAN route for glyphosate technical production in China, Sept. 2018
- Table 6.4-1 Comparison of the three production routes of glyphosate technical in China
- Table 6.5-1 Source and content of glyphosate liquid waste
- Table 6.5-2 Comparison of membrane method and selective transformation and burning method for disposal of glyphosate mother liquor
- Table 9.1-1 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in Hubei Trisun, 2009–2017
- Table 9.2-1 Subsidiaries of Fuhua Tongda, as of Oct. 2018
- Table 9.2-2 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in Fuhua Tongda, 2007–2017
- Table 9.3-1 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in Zhejiang Wynca, 2007–2017
- Table 9.4-1 Major subsidiaries of Nantong Jiangshan, as of Oct. 2018
- Table 9.4-2 Capacity of major products in Nantong Jiangshan, 2017
- Table 9.4-3 Glyphosate technical and its supporting products in Nantong Jiangshan, 2017
- Table 9.4-4 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in Nantong Jiangshan, 2009–2017
- Table 9.4-5 Revenue, cost and profit of glyphosate in Nantong Jiangshan, 2009–2017, million USD
- Table 9.5-1 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in Jiangsu Weien, 2009–2017
- Table 9.6-1 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in Jiangsu Yangnong, 2009–2017
- Table 9.7-1 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in Zhejiang Jinfanda, 2007–2017
- Table 9.7-2 Capacity of glyphosate technical and its related products in Zhejiang Jinfanda and Jiangxi Jinlong, 2017, t/a

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1-1 China's GDP growth, 2008–2017
- Figure 1.1-2 Per capita annual net income of rural residents in China, 2008–2017
- Figure 1.1-3 Engel's Coefficient in China, 2008-2017
- Figure 1.1-4 Population structure in China, 2008–2017
- Figure 1.3-1 China's export and global demand (China excluded) of glyphosate, 2013–2017
- Figure 2.1-1 Different pathways for producing glyphosate technical and value chain of key raw materials
- Figure 2.1.1-1 Flowchart of the chloroacetic acid ammonolysis process for glycine production
- Figure 2.1.1-2 Flowchart of the Strecker process for glycine production
- Figure 2.1.1-3 Flowchart of the hydantion process for glycine production
- Figure 2.1.1-4 Monthly average ex-works price of tech-grade glycine and glyphosate technical in China, Jan. 2013–Oct. 2018



Figure 2.1.2-1 Production process of IDAN by natural gas route in China

Figure 2.1.2-2 Capacity and output of IDAN in China, 2004–2017

Figure 2.1.2-3 Monthly average ex-works price of IDAN and 95% glyphosate technical in

China, Jan. 2010-Sept. 2018

Figure 2.1.3-1 Capacity and output of DEA in China, 2013–2017

Figure 2.1.3-2 China's imports of DEA, 2008–2017

Figure 2.1.3-3 Apparent consumption of DEA in China, 2013–2017

Figure 2.1.4-1 Capacity and output of PF in China, 2013–2017

Figure 2.1.4-2 Ex-works price of 95% methanol and 96% PF in China, Jan. 2013–Oct. 2018

Figure 2.1.4-3 Apparent consumption and its growth rate of PF in China, 2013–2017

Figure 2.1.4-4 Consumption of paraformaldehyde in China by end use segment, 2017

Figure 2.1.4-5 Consumption of paraformaldehyde in glyphosate in China, 2013–2017

Figure 2.1.5-1 Output of PMIDA in China, 2013–2017

Figure 3.1-1 Active registrations of single glyphosate formulations by specification in China, as of Oct. 2018

Figure 3.2.2-1 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in China, 2008–2017

Figure 3.2.4-1 Output share of glyphosate technical in China by region, 2017

Figure 3.3.3-1 China's export volume of glyphosate formulations by specification, 2013–2017

Figure 3.4-1 Forecast on glyphosate technical output in China, 2018–2022

Figure 4.1.1-1 China's export and global demand for glyphosate, 2013-2017

Figure 4.1.3-1 China's export volume of glyphosate and PMIDA, 2008-Aug. 2018

Figure 4.1.3-2 China's export value of glyphosate and PMIDA, 2008–Aug. 2018

Figure 4.1.3-3 China's annual average export price of PMIDA and glyphosate, 2008–Aug. 2018

Figure 4.1.3-4 Key export destinations of China's PMIDA, 2008-Aug. 2018

Figure 4.1.4-1 China's glyphosate technical flow, 2017

Figure 4.1.4-2 Major overseas importers of Chinese glyphosate technical, 2017

Figure 4.1.4-3 China's glyphosate formulation flow, 2017

Figure 4.2.1-1 Monthly average ex-works price of glyphosate technical and key formulations in China, Jan. 2010–Oct. 2018

Figure 4.2.1-2 Annual average ex-works price of glyphosate technical and annual export volume of glyphosate in China, 2007–2017

Figure 4.2.1-3 Ex-works prices of glyphosate technical & key raw materials in China, Jan. 2010–Oct. 2018

Figure 4.2.2-1 Monthly ex-works price of 95% glyphosate technical in China, Jan. 2010–Oct. 2018

Figure 4.2.2-2 Forecast on glyphosate technical price in China, 2018–2021

Figure 5.1.1-1 Global planting area of GM crops by key crop, 2008–2017

Figure 5.1.1-2 Global planting area of GM crop by trait, 2008–2017

Figure 5.1.2-1 Global glyphosate demand and its growth rate, 2013–2017

Figure 5.1.2-2 Global consumption of glyphosate by continent, 2017

Figure 5.2.1-1 Output, export and consumption of glyphosate in China, 2013–2017

Figure 5.2.2-1 Consumption structure of main herbicides in China, 2017

Figure 5.2.3.2-1 Geographical distribution of main orchards in China, 2016

E-mail: econtact@cnchemicals.com



Figure 5.2.3.2-2 Geographical distribution of corn planting area in China, 2016

Figure 5.2.3.2-3 Geographical distribution of rice planting area in China, 2016

Figure 5.2.3.2-4 Geographical distribution of wheat planting area in China, 2016

Figure 5.2.3.2-5 Geographical distribution of bean planting area in China, 2016

Figure 5.2.3.2-6 Geographical distribution of cotton planting area in China, 2016

Figure 5.2.3.2-7 Geographical distribution of tea planting area in China, 2016

Figure 5.2.3.2-8 Geographical distribution of sugarcane planting area in China, 2016

Figure 5.2.3.2-9 Geographical distribution of rubber planting area in China, 2016

Figure 5.3-1 Forecast on glyphosate demand in China, 2018–2022

Figure 6-1 Production pathways of glyphosate technical in China

Figure 6.1.1-1 Brief pathway of AEA pathway for glyphosate technical production in China

Figure 6.1.1-2 Flowchart of AEA pathway for glyphosate technical production in China

Figure 6.1.2-1 Recycling system of glyphosate technical production by AEA pathway in China

Figure 6.2.1-1 Brief pathway of DEA route for glyphosate technical production in China

Figure 6.2.1-2 Flowchart of DEA route for glyphosate technical production in China

Figure 6.3.1-1 Brief pathway of IDAN route for glyphosate technical production in China

Figure 6.3.1-2 Flowchart of IDAN route for glyphosate technical production in China

Figure 6.5-1 Brief technology diagram of water recycling with membrane method

Figure 6.5-2 Diagram of membrane separation technology

Figure 6.5-3 Flowchart of catalytic wet air oxidation technology for glyphosate waste treatment

Figure 9.3-1 Main business structure of Zhejiang Wynca by revenue, 2017



1. Introduction

Outlook for China Glyphosate Market 2018–2022 is a preliminary report on China's glyphosate market finished by CCM in Dec. 2018. This report attaches importance to the following parts:

- Key factors influencing China's glyphosate industry
- Key upstream products of glyphosate technical including glycine, DEA, IDAN, paraformaldehyde, and PMIDA
- Supply of glyphosate technical (capacity, output, by producer and by production route) in China
- Key producers of glyphosate technical in China
- Supply and demand of glyphosate formulation by specification in China
- China's export of glyphosate technical, various glyphosate formulations and PMIDA, by key destination and by key trader, 2013–Aug. 2018
- Price of glyphosate technical and future trend
- Production technology & technology level of glyphosate technical in China
- Breakdown of glyphosate consumption by crop in China, 2013–2017



2. Approach for the report

The research for the report is carried out by the following steps:

- Desk research

The sources of desk research are various, including published magazines, journals, government statistics, industrial statistics, customs statistics, association seminars as well as information from the Internet. A lot of work went into compiling and analysing the information obtained. Where necessary, checks were made with the Chinese suppliers regarding market information such as production, demand, use, competition, etc.

- Telephone interview

The interviewees cover:

- Producers
- Agricultural experts
- Traders
- Local governments
- Researchers
- Associations
- Equipment suppliers
- Raw material suppliers

CCM carried out extensive telephone interviews with all manufacturers of glyphosate technical and PMIDA producers as well as some producers of glyphosate formulations. Detailed production information and market situation were sourced and verified. Furthermore, players' comments on glyphosate industry were obtained.

For directly analysing the imports and exports of glyphosate technical, its formulations and PMIDA, many importers and exporters were contacted whenever the verification was needed.

Raw material (glycine, DEA, IDAN, PMIDA, etc.) suppliers were also contacted to help understand the price, supply as well as governmental policies on raw materials and their impact on the glyphosate industry.

Export analysis

Analysis of export data (HS code 29310000, 38089311 and 38089319) from the China Customs helps work out China's exports of glyphosate (glyphosate technical, glyphosate formulations and PMIDA) by producer, trader and destination.



- Data processing and presentation

The data collecting and complying are sourced from:

- Published articles from Chinese periodicals, magazines, journals, the third-party database
- Government statistics & customs statistics
- Telephone interviews with Chinese producers, traders, governments and farmers
- Comments from industrial experts
- CCM's database
- Professional database in other sources
- Information from internet

The data from various ways have been combined to make this report as precise and scientific as possible. Throughout the process, a series of internal discussions took place in order to analyse the data and draw conclusions from it.

- Report generation

Logical analysis and scientific ratiocination were conducted to generate the report, such as supply & demand analysis and cross-checking of all data. All the data and findings obtained through the above methods will be presented in the report clearly.



3. Executive summary

Glyphosate, the key active ingredient of Roundup, is one of the most commonly sold herbicides on the market today. With rapidly increasing demand, glyphosate has shared about XX% of the global herbicide market in terms of sales volume.

- Position of Chinese glyphosate in the world

China has become the largest production base of glyphosate technical in the world and has been supplying over XX% of the global production of glyphosate. Its output of glyphosate was about XXX tonnes in 2017 (converted to 95% technical), XX% of which was exported.

- Production

The domestic output of glyphosate technical increased from XXX tonnes in 2012 to XXX tonnes in 2014; however, it decreased to XXX tonnes in 2015 because of the decreasing demand for glyphosate beyond China caused by the decreasing planting area of GM crops in 2015. It increased to XXX tonnes in 2016 and 2017 because of the recovering global demand for glyphosate.

Glyphosate production adopting the AEA pathway remains dominant in China, with its output reaching XXX tonnes and taking up XX % of national total in 2017.

In the past few years, the domestic production of glyphosate technical tended to transfer from East China to Central China (Hubei) and Southwest China (Sichuan), and North China (Inner Mongolia). The output of glyphosate technical in Sichuan, Hubei, and Inner Mongolia increased from about XXX tonnes in 2009 to about XXX tonnes in 2011, about XXX tonnes in 2012, about XXX tonnes in 2015 and about XXX tonnes in 2017.

The number of glyphosate technical producers in China (both active and idle are included) declined from over XX in 2012–2014 to only XX in 2015, only XX in 2016 and only XX in 2017, caused by the low price and then low profit of glyphosate technical in 2015–2016 and stricter environmental protection requirements.

Chinese glyphosate industry is dominated by the companies who own the latest technology, large-scale production capacity, strong financial and sales strength and complete industrial chain, etc. Leading producers of glyphosate technical in the country include Fuhua Tongda (XXX t/a), Hubei Trisun (XXX t/a), Zhejiang Wynca (XXX t/a), Nantong Jiangshan (XXX t/a), Jiangsu Weien (XXX t/a), Leshan Hebang (XXX t/a glyphosate technical, XXX t/a PMIDA), Jiangsu Yangnong (XXX t/a) and etc.

- Export

11



Glyphosate is the largest export commodity in the pesticide sector in China in terms of both volume and value. China's glyphosate industry highly depends on overseas market, with about XX % of its output exported every year. Besides, China has become the largest exporter of glyphosate in the world, and the export volume (glyphosate technical and formulations included and converted to 95% technical) has reached over XXX tonnes in 2017.

China's glyphosate export value increased from over USDXX billion in 2012 to over USDXX billion in 2013, driven by both increasing export volume and export price. The export value decreased a little to USDXX billion in 2014 because of the declining export price though the export volume increased, and it decreased sharply to USDXX billion in 2015 attributing to decreasing export volume and export price. The export value decreased to USDXX billion in 2016 because of the decreasing export price, though the export volume increased. The export value increased to USDXX billion in 2017 due to increasing demands for the glyphosate from GM crops.

The major export destinations of Chinese glyphosate technical are Argentina, the US, Brazil, Malaysia, Indonesia, Australia, and Russia, and the major destinations of glyphosate formulations are Thailand, Australia, Vietnam, the US, Ghana, Nigeria, Russia, Brazil, Uruguay, the Philippines, Japan, Mexico, Indonesia, Ukraine, Columbia, Chile, etc.

- Demand

Glyphosate has taken an irreplaceable position for the control of weeds in China. Its consumption grown at a CAGR of XX% in 2013–2017, much higher than that of the total herbicide consumption (about XX%) during the same period, reaching about XXX tonnes (converted to 95% technical) in 2017, accounting for XX% of the total herbicide consumption in China (converted to the most frequently used technical of each herbicide).

In China, glyphosate is quite important for the weeding in orchard, vegetables, wasteland reclamation and traditional crop fields in the period of pre-seeding. Orchard is the largest consumption field of glyphosate, with a demand share of about XX% in 2017, followed by vegetables, corn, rice, wheat, tea, rubber, etc.

- Production technology

There are two pathways for glyphosate production in China including the iminodiacetic acid (IDA) pathway and the aminoethanoic acid (AEA) pathway. According to the starting raw material, the former can also be subdivided into two routes, namely the diethanolamine (DEA) route and the iminodiacetonitrile (IDAN) route.

The IDA pathway had been developing rapidly in 2005–2009, and many domestic companies set up glyphosate technical production lines adopting the IDA pathway, especially the IDAN route. After that, the DEA route showed a downtrend with the number of producers decreasing

E-mail: econtact@cnchemicals.com



from XX in 2009 to only XX in 2014–2017 because of the strong competitiveness of the IDAN route stemming from its advantages such as low cost, sufficient IDAN supply, etc.

Because of the mature technology and the sufficient raw material supply in China, the AEA pathway is widely adopted in China's glyphosate industry. In 2017, the capacity of glyphosate technical by this pathway was about XXX t/a, accounting for XX% of China's total capacity.

Generally, the glyphosate production technologies of all these three routes are mature, with only small innovations on increasing the unit output. However, under the pressure of stricter environmental protection policies like the environmental protection verification launched by the Chinese government, the glyphosate producers began to focus on the innovation on waste treatment technology. Among the waste treatment technologies, the burning method is the rising one because of its high comprehensive utilization rate of phosphorus element.

Along with producers' increasing input on waste treatment, the production cost of glyphosate also increases in China. Though the cost of the AEA pathway is more affected by the environmental protection policies, it still has a similar cost with the one of the IDAN route and has cost advantage over the DEA route for its high production efficiency, complete industrial layout and high value of by-products.

- Price

Glyphosate price in China fluctuated during the past few years.

The annual average ex-works price of 95% glyphosate technical increased from USDXXX/t in 2012 to USDXXX/t in 2013, because of the sharply increasing export volume of China's glyphosate (increasing demand beyond China) and China's environmental protection verification (EPV) on glyphosate (PMIDA) producers.

However, it decreased to USDXXX/t in 2014 and USDXXX/t in 2015, because of the falling export volume as a result of a decreasing demand beyond China and decreasing planting area of genetically modified (GM) crops in 2015.

It decreased to USDXXX/t in 2016, because of the oversupply of glyphosate technical.

It recovered to USDXXX/t in 2017 for increasing cost of raw materials. For the same reason, it increased to an average USDXXX/t in Jan. 2018–Oct. 2018.

E-mail: econtact@cnchemicals.com

4. What's in this report?

Note: Key data/information in this sample page is hidden, while in the report it is not.

. . .

Table 2.1.1-1 Raw material consumption and unit cost of chloroacetic acid ammonolysis process for glycine production in China, Oct. 2018

No.	Raw material	Purity, %	Unit consumption, t/t	Price, USD/t	Unit cost, USD/t
1	Chloroacetic acid	95	XXX	XXX	XXX
2	Liquid ammonia	99.6	XXX	XXX	XXX
3	Urotropine	98	XXX	XXX	XXX
4	Methanol	98	XXX	XXX	XXX
Total		1	1	1	XXX

Source: CCM

Table 2.1.1-2 Capacity and output of glycine in China, 2013–2017

Vacu	Capacity	y, t/a	Output, tonne		
Year	Industrial grade	Other grade	Industrial grade	Other grade	
2013	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	
2014	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
2015	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
2016	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
2017	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	

Source: CCM

Table 2.1.1-3 Producers of industrial grade glycine in China, 2013–2017

Na	Company Abbre	A la la manada di a m			Output, tonne					
No.		Abbreviation	Capacity H1 2018, t/a	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
1	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
3	xxx	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
			XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
	Total		XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		

Source: CCM

Table 2.1.1-4 Consumption of glycine in glyphosate production in China, 2013–2017

Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Output of glyphosate tech. (AEA pathway), tonne	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Consumption of glycine, tonne	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX

Table 3.1-1 Registrations of glyphosate in China, as of March 2012, Oct. 2016, Aug. 2017 and Oct. 2018

Specification		Number of registration					
Specifi	ication	March 2012	Oct. 2016	Aug. 2017	Oct. 2018		
0:	SL	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
Single formulations	SP	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
IOITTUIALIOITS	SG/WSG	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
Mixed formu	lations	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
Technical		XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
То	tal	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		

Source: Institute for the Control of Agrochemicals, Ministry of Agriculture (ICAMA) & CCM

Table 3.2.1-1 Output of China's glyphosate and share in global market, 2013–2017

Year	Output of glyphosate tech., tonne			Output of glyphosate tech., tonne China's PMIDA export		China's PMIDA export,	China's total*,	China's
rear	Global	China	China's share	tonne	tonne	share		
2013	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2014	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2015	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2016	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2017	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		

Note: * PMIDA (converted to glyphosate tech.) is included.

Source: CCM

Figure 3.2.2-1 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical in China, 2008–2017



15

Table 3.2.3-2 Capacity and output of glyphosate technical by producer in China, 2013–H1 2018

		Capacity, as of H1		Output, tonne						
No.	Enterprise	Enterprise 2017, t/a	2042	2042	2044	2015	204.0	H1		
			2012	2013	2013 2014		2016	2017		
1	Hubei Trisun	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX		
3	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
	Others		XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
	Total		xxx	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		

Source: CCM

Table 3.2.5-1 Capacity of glyphosate technical in China by production route, 2007–2017

	Yea	2007	2008		2016	2017	
	AEA	Capacity, t/a	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	AEA	Number of producers	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	DE A soute	Capacity, t/a	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	DEA route	Number of producers	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
ID A	IDAN route	Capacity, t/a	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
IDA		Number of producers	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	DMIDA service	Capacity, t/a	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	PMIDA route	Number of producers	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	Tatal	Capacity, t/a	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	Total	Number of producers	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX

Source: CCM

Table 3.2.5-2 Output of glyphosate technical in China by production route, 2007–2017

	Year/pa	thway	2007	2008		2015	2016	2017
		Output, tonne	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
AEA		Growth rate	xxx	XXX	xxx	xxx	XXX	XXX
		Operation rate	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
		Output, tonne	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	DEA route	Growth rate	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
IDA		Operation rate	XXX	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX
IDA		Output, tonne	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	IDAN route	Growth rate	XXX	XXX	xxx	xxx	XXX	XXX
		Operation rate	XXX	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX
Total		Output, tonne	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
		Growth rate	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
		Operation rate	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX

Source: CCM

Table 3.3.1-2 Output of key glyphosate formulations in China, 2013–H1 2018, tonne

Year	30% SL (41% IPA mainly)	51% SL (51% IPA)	62% SL (62% IPA)	68% SG (75.7% WSG)	Others		
2013	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2014	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2015	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2016	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX		
2017	XXX	xxx	XXX	XXX	XXX		
H1 2018	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX		

Table 4.1.3-1 Major overseas buyers of China's PMIDA, 2017, tonne

No.	Buyer	Argentina	India	The US	Others
1	XXXXXXXXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	xxx
2	XXXXXXXXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
3	XXXXXXXXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	XXXXXXXXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	XXXXXXXXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	xxx
	Others		XXX	XXX	xxx
	Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX

Source: China Customs & CCM

Table 4.1.3-12 China's exports of glyphosate by destination, Aug 2018

		41% IPA		51% IPA		62% IPA		75.7% WSG		Tech.		Total
No.	Destination	Volume,	Price,	Volume,	Price,	Volume,	Price,	Volume,	Price,	Volume,	Price,	value,
		tonne	USD/kg	tonne	USD/kg	tonne	USD/kg	tonne	USD/kg	tonne	USD/kg	USD
1	XXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
2	XXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	XXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
29	XXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
30	XXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	Others	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX

Source: China Customs & CCM

Table 5.2.3.1-1 Consumption of glyphosate formulations in China, 2013–2017

Year	Consun	nption volur	ne, tonne	Market share			
	30% SL	62% IPA	Others	30% SL	62% IPA	Others	
2012	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
2013	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
2014	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
2015	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
2016	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	
H1 2017	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	

Table 5.2.3.2-2 Consumption of glyphosate (calculated by 95% technical) in China by crop, 2013–2017, tonne

Crop	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
XXXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Beans	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Cotton	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Total	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX

Table 6.1.3-1 Raw material cost of AEA pathway for glyphosate technical production in China, Oct. 2018

Raw material	Unit consumption, t/t	Unit price*, USD/t	Unit cost, USD/t
Glycine (Industrial grade)	XXX	XXX	XXX
Paraformaldehyde (37%)	XXX	XXX	XXX
Triethylamine (99.5%)	XXX	XXX	XXX
Methanol (95%)	XXX	XXX	XXX
DMP	XXX	XXX	XXX
Hydrochloric acid (30%)	XXX	XXX	XXX
	XXX		

Source: CCM

...

If you want more information, please feel free to contact us

Tel: +86-20-37616606 Fax: +86-20-37616968

Email:econtact@cnchemicals.com